REMARKS

Claims 1 through 16 remain in the application. Claim 17 is newly added, and no new

matter is contained in these amendments.

Applicants submit the present amendments and remarks, and respectfully request

reconsideration and allowance of the remaining claims.

Rejection Under 37 C.F.R. 1.75(c)

The Examiner rejected claims 2 and 4-16 under 37 C.F.R. 1.75(c), for being in improper

form because a multiple dependent claim cannot be dependent on another multiple dependent

claim. Applicants have amended claims 4-6, 9-12 and 14 in this regard, and the rejection should

be removed.

The Examiner objected to claim 13 because it appeared to be a Markush claim in

improper format. Applicants have amended claim 13 to address this objection.

Rejection Under 35 U.S.C. § 112

The Examiner rejected claims 5, 9 and 15-16 under 35 U.S.C. § 112 as being indefinite

for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards

as the invention. Applicants have amended the claims to remove the term "briefly" in claim 5,

"in particular" in claim 9, and to clarify that the processes claimed in claims 15 and 16, and the

rejection should be removed.

Page 5/11

Rejection Under 35 U.S.C. § 102(e)

The Examiner rejected claims 1-6 and 9-16 under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) as obvious over

Takeda et al. or Smithey et al. in view of Gaffney, Dutton et al., McDowell et al., Kobayashi et

al. and Lehninger et al.

The present application is directed to a process for the production of covalently cross-

linked bacteriorhodopsin, wherein bacteriorhodopsin is used in membrane-bound form as a

substrate of a transglutaminase. A primary advantage of the claimed method is that it avoids the

use of low-molecular linker molecules as conventionally used for covalent coupling of purple

membranes. Since the coupling sites of the bacteriorhodopsin molecules in purple membranes

(which are crystals) are poorly accessible, it is very surprising that according to the present

invention, almost 100% coupling efficiency is achieved, as illustrated, for example, in Fig. 3 of

the present application. The bands of the starting molecules (Fig. 3A) disappear completely in

the course of the reaction and the product is quantitatively formed (Fig. 3F). Moreover, cross-

linking according to the invention is characterized by a simple procedure compared with the

complicated processes of the prior art. Simple mixture of bacteriorhodopsin and

transglutaminase, and optionally further substances, leads to the formation of the desired

products. Moreover, staples of several layers of bacteriorhodopsin purple membrane in direct

order can be produced according to the present invention, which generate high voltages when

exposed to light and, for example, can be used as switches or control elements.

Page 6/11

Takeda et al. is directed to a process for preparing a protein-orientated membrane.

According to Takeda et al., cross-linking of bacteriorhodopsin is carried out using glutaraldehyde

and similar low-molecular linkers (cf., for examples, claims 7 to 9). Prior to cross-linking, an

orientation of proteins via electrophoresis or antigen-antibody reaction must be effected (cf., for

example, column 2, line 67 to column 3, line 3). Consequently, Takeda et al. describe a very

complicated method using low-molecular linker molecules which are avoided according to the

present invention (see for example, present application, page 2, second paragraph).

Smithey et al. disclose bacteriorhodopsin preparations having increased information

storage times, wherein the bacteriorhodopsin materials are crosslinked using monomeric

crosslinkers such as formaldehyde, dialdehydes, diamines and the like (see column 4, lines 48 to

51). However, the cross-linking as performed according to Smithey et al. represents the

conventional way of cross-linking bacteriorhodopsin using low-molecular linker molecules

which are avoided according to the present invention.

Gaffney is an overview of the chemical and biochemical cross-linking of various

membrane components. Thereby, Gaffney first described in detail the use of low-molecular

cross-linkers (pp. 294-299) as well as cross-linking via photoactivatable cross-linkers (pp. 299-

304). Page 304, bottom of left column to right column, third paragraph, deals with biochemical

transglutaminase cross-linking. Gaffney does not give any precise teaching regarding which

molecules can be linked by transglutaminase. It is only stated that cross-linking of cytoskeleton

and membrane proteins is observed when adding calcium. The cross-linking of

Page 7/11

Reply to Office Action dated July 1, 2004

Amendment dated November 1, 2004

Page 8 of 11

bacteriorhodopsin or even bacteriorhodopsin in membrane-bound form is neither described nor

suggested. It is significant to note that bacteriorhodopsin in the form of purple membranes are

protein-lipid crystal structures, while erythrocyte membranes as used in Gaffney (see page 304,

left column, last paragraph and right column, last sentence of first paragraph) are flexible, fluid

structures having different properties. In particular, binding sites are considerably more

accessible in flexible membranes than in crystal structures. Thus, results obtained for erythrocyte

membranes cannot be simply applied to bacteriorhodopsin in membrane-bound form.

On page 311, left column, Gaffney explicitly describes cross-linking of

bacteriorhodopsin, and in that case solely small molecules, namely photoactivatable cross-

linkers, are used to effect cross-linking, as was common practice and considered necessary in the

prior art before the present invention. Thus, Gaffney mentions both transglutaminase and

bacteriorhodopsin, however, in a different context and not in combination. Without taking a

hindsight approach, knowing the present application, the skilled person could not gather any hint

from Gaffney that such combination would be possible. In fact, the lack of recognition by

Gaffney of the advantages of the present invention of combining transglutaminase and

bacteriorhodopsin indicates its nonobviousness.

Thus, by combining Takeda et al. or Smithey et al. with Gaffney, the skilled person could

not arrive at the present invention, according to which cross-linking of bacteriorhodopsin in

membrane-bound form is possible by means of transglutaminase, without requiring the use of

low-molecular linker molecules. Rather, the cited documents lead away from the present

Page 8/11

Reply to Office Action dated July 1, 2004

Amendment dated November 1, 2004

Page 9 of 11

invention, because in either case linker molecules are taught to be necessarily used for cross-

linking bacteriorhodopsin.

The further documents cited by the Examiner do not add anything to Takeda et al.,

Smithey et al. and/or Gaffney which would enable the skilled person to arrive at the present

invention, as indicated below.

Dutton et al. disclose the cross-linking and labeling of membrane proteins by

transglutaminase catalyzed reactions. In particular, Dutton et al. discuss cross-linking and

labeling experiments using mouse erythrocyte membranes (see page 2568, first paragraph and

"Materials and Methods" on the same page). Bacteriorhodopsin is not mentioned in Dutton et al.

Moreover, as outlined above, bacteriorhodopsin in membrane-bound form substantially differs

from erythrocyte membranes in that it represents a crystalline structure, whereas erythrocyte

membranes are flexible, fluid structures having substantially different properties. No suggestion

can be found in Dutton et al. that it would be possible to use transglutaminase for the cross-

linking of membrane-bound bacteriorhodopsin. Rather, one skilled in the art searching for an

improved method for specifically cross-linking bacteriorhodopsin in membrane-bound form

would not take Dutton et al. into account at all and would not combine this document with any of

the documents referring to bacteriorhodopsin.

McDowell et al. disclose the modification of rhodopsin by means of transglutaminase. In

particular, transglutaminase is used to attach small amines to rhodopsin in order to investigate its

topography and function. Consequently, McDowell et al. relate to the investigation of rhodopsin,

Page 9/11

Reply to Office Action dated July 1, 2004

Amendment dated November 1, 2004

Page 10 of 11

which represents a completely different technical field compared to the present invention

referring to the cross-linking of bacteriorhodopsin. Further, the small molecules that are attached

to rhodopsin using transglutaminase according to McDowell et al. are quite mobile due to their

low molecular weight. Therefore, the molecules diffuse to the membrane and react there.

Contrary to the attachment of small molecules as in McDowell et al., according to the method of

the present invention, cross-links between membrane-bound bacteriorhodopsin molecules are

achieved, which means that complete membrane patches are coupled together. Such membrane-

bound proteins when used in water are not dissolved but form a dispersion. For example, a

purple membrane typically contains 10,000 to 30,000 bacteriorhodopsins. This aggregate shows

an extremely low Brownian motion. Since the Brownian motion will not bring the "second"

membrane to the "first" membrane, as is the case when small molecules are attached, it is highly

surprising that transglutaminase can be used to covalently cross-link bacteriorhodopsin without

linker molecules as achieved according to the present invention. McDowell et al. do not even

address the problem underlying the present invention and one skilled in the art cannot take any

hint from McDowell et al. as to the use of transglutaminase for cross-linking bacteriorhodopsin

in membrane-bound form as claimed in the present invention.

Kobayashi et al. disclose a process for producing a transglutaminase and Lehninger et al.

refer to investigations with respect to the temperature dependency of enzyme catalyzed reactions.

Both documents are irrelevant for the claimed subject matter of the present invention.

Page 10/11

Reply to Office Action dated July 1, 2004

Amendment dated November 1, 2004

Page 11 of 11

In summary, the subject matter of the present invention is neither disclosed nor rendered

obvious by any of the cited documents or by any combination of the documents if the skilled

person would have combined them at all. Rather, the combination of the documents as suggested

by the Examiner represents an inadmissible hindsight approach knowing the subject matter of the

Therefore, Applicants respectfully request withdrawal of the prior art present invention.

rejections and allowance of the claims.

The Examiner is encouraged to call the undersigned attorney at 404-853-8081 if doing so

will facilitate prosecution of the application. No fees are believed to be due at this time.

However, the Commissioner is hereby authorized to charge any additional fees due or credit any

overpayment to Deposit Account 19-5029.

Respectfully submitted

William L. Warren

Registration No. 36,714

SUTHERLAND ASBILL & BRENNAN LLP

999 Peachtree Street, NE,

Atlanta, Georgia 30309-3996

Telephone: 404.853.8000

Atty Docket: 18744-0001

Page 11/11